arXiv:gr-qc/0509086v1 21 Sep 2005

Twisting Null Geodesic Congruences and the Einstein-Maxwell Equations

Ezra T. Newman[1] and Gilberto Silva-Ortigoza[2]
[1]Dept of Physics and Astronomy,
Univ. of Pittsburgh,
Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
[2]Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas
de la Universidad Autónoma de Puebla,
Apartado Postal 1152,
Puebla, Pue., México

Sept. 21, 2005

Abstract

In a recent article we returned to the study of asymptotically flat solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations with a rather unconventional point of view. The essential observation in that work was that from a given asymptotically flat vacuum space-time with a given Bondi shear, one can find a class of asymptotically shear-free (but, in general, twisting) null geodesic congruences where the class was uniquely given *up to the arbitrary choice of a complex analytic 'world-line' in a four-dimensional complex space*. By imitating certain terms in the Weyl tensor that are found in the algebraically special type II metrics, this complex world-line could be made unique and given - or assigned - the physical meaning as the complex center of mass. Equations of motion for this case were found.

The purpose of the present work is to extend those results to asymptotically flat solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations. Once again, in this case, we get a class of asymptotically shear-free null geodesic congruences depending on a complex world-line in the same four-dimensional complex space. However in this case there will be, in general, two distinct but uniquely chosen world-lines. One of which can be assigned as the complex center-of- charge while the other could be called the complex center of mass. Rather than investigating the situation where there are two distinct complex world-lines, we study instead the special degenerate case where the two world-lines coincide, i.e., where there is a single unique world-line. This mimics the case of algebraically special Einstein-Maxwell fields where the degenerate principle null vector of the Weyl tensor coincides with a Maxwell principle null vector. Again we obtain equations of motion for this world-line - but explicitly found here only in an approximation. Though there are ambiguities in assigning physical meaning to different terms it appears as if reliance on the Kerr and charged Kerr metrics and classical electromagnetic radiation theory helps considerably in this identification. In addition, the resulting equations of motion appear to have many of the properties of a particle with intrinsic spin and an intrinsic magnetic dipole moment. At first order there is even the classical radiation-reaction term $\frac{2}{3}\frac{q^2}{c^3}\ddot{v}$, now obtained without any use of the Lorentz force law but obtained directly from the asymptotic fields themselves. One even sees the possible suppression of the classical runaway solutions due to the radiation reaction force.

1 Introduction

In a recent article[1] we returned, with a rather unconventional point of view, to the study of asymptotically flat solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations . The main development in that work was the realization that for *any* given asymptotically flat space-time with a given Bondi asymptotic shear, one can find a class of asymptotically shear-free (but, in general, twisting) null geodesic congruences where the class was uniquely given *up to an arbitrary choice of a complex analytic 'world-line' in a four- complex dimensional space.* Furthermore, by mimicking some terms that are found in the Weyl tensor of the algebraically special type II metrics, this complex world-line could be chosen uniquely and given - or assigned - the physical meaning of a complex center of mass; the real part being related to an asymptotically defined center of mass and the imaginary part related to asymptotically defined intrinsic spin. Equations of motion for the real and imaginary parts were found to strongly resemble the Mathisson-Papapetrou equations of motion for a spinning particle.

The purpose of the present work is to extend those results to asymptotically flat solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations. Once again, in this case, we get a class of asymptotically shear-free null geodesic congruences depending on a complex world-line in the same four-dimensional complex space. However in this case there will be, in general, two distinct but uniquely given world-lines. One, which comes from properties of the Maxwell field, can be assigned as the complex center-of-charge while the other arising from properties of the Weyl tensor, can be associated with the complex center of mass. Rather than study the situation where there are two distinct complex world-lines, we study instead the special degenerate case where the two world-lines coincide, i.e., were there is a single unique world-line. This mimics the case of algebraically special Einstein-Maxwell fields where the degenerate principle null vector of the Weyl tensor coincides with a Maxwell principle null vector. Again we obtain equations of motion for this world-line - found here only in a second-order approximation. Though there is not a normal or standard method for the assignment of physical meaning to different terms or quantities, nevertheless tentative assignments can be made by a variety of methods. One can use the Kerr and charged Kerr metrics to identify spin and magnetic moments. From the equations of motion that appear to have many of the properties of a particle with intrinsic spin and an intrinsic magnetic dipole moment {the Mathisson-Papapetrou equations}, one can try to identify asymptotically defined centers of mass and charge. One even has at first order, the classical radiation-reaction term $\frac{2}{3}\frac{q^2}{c^3}\ddot{v}$ now obtained without any use of the Lorentz force law but simply from the asymptotic fields themselves. A new development occurs when we go to a 3rd order term in the equations of motion: we find a counter term that appears to suppress or dampen the exponential run-away solutions associated with the radiation reaction force. This arises solely from the Bondi mass loss equation.

One should think of this work as developing a generalization of the properties of the algebraically special space-times in the sense that the term that is required here to vanish, is automatically vanishing (among many other terms) for all the algebraically special metrics. It was, in fact, an understanding of the algebraically special metrics and their associated *shear-free null congruence* that led us to this construction of the *asymptotically shear-free* congruences and the unique complex world-line.

The charged Robinson-Trautman metrics and the charged Kerr metrics with their properties are explicit examples of the ideas and construction given here.

In Section II, which is a summary of our earlier review[1], we discuss certain properties of null infinity $\mathfrak{I}^+$ that are needed here. In Section III and IV we describe the variables that come from the Maxwell and Weyl tensors and live on $\mathfrak{I}^+$ and their evolution, i.e., the asymptotic Maxwell equations and Bianchi Identities. In Section V we describe how to find the family of asymptotically shear free congruences, i.e., how to determine a field of complex stereographic angles, $L[\mathfrak{I}^+]$, and then how to narrow that family down to two specific congruences: one comes from the Maxwell tensor while the other comes from the Weyl tensor. In the present work we shall assume that we are dealing with the special case when these two world-line coincide. We thus find, in this case, that there is a unique complex world-line in H-space that determines the unique shear-free null geodesic congruence. Finally, in Section VI, we attempt to give physical meaning and significance to the geometric structures that have arisen in this work.

In particular, as a great surprise to us, we find that the equations of motion for this complex world-line are extremely close to the standard equations of motion for a charged particle with intrinsic spin. The real part appears to represent a description of the motion of the center of mass of the interior gravitating system and the imaginary part the evolution of the spin vector of the same system.

There appears to us a genuine mystery here: Why should the motion in a complex parameter space be virtually the same as ordinary motion in space-time?

It is unfortunate, but apparently unavoidable, that there is considerable duplication of the material presented here with that of our earlier work[1]. Not to have had this duplication would, we believe, make the present work unintelligible.

2 Review of $\mathfrak{I}^+$: the Future Null Boundary of Space-Time

2.1 $\mathfrak{I}^+$ coordinates

Future null infinity, often referred to as $\mathfrak{I}^+$, is roughly speaking the set of endpoints of all future directed null geodesics[2]. It is usually given the structure of S^2xR, a line bundle over the sphere. This leads naturally to the global stereographic coordinates, $(\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, for the S^2 and with u labeling the cross sections or global slices of the bundle. From the point of view of the space-time, $\mathfrak{I}^+$ is a null surface, with the null generators given by $(\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ $=$ *constant*.

There is a canonical slicing of $\mathfrak{I}^+$, $u_B = constant$, referred to as the Bondi slicing, that it is defined from an asymptotic symmetry inherited from the interior, called the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs group[3]. Any one Bondi slicing is related to any other by the *supertranslation* freedom;

$$\widetilde{u}_B = u_B + \alpha(\zeta,\overline{\zeta}). \tag{1}$$

with $\alpha(\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ an arbitrary regular function on S^2.

All other arbitrary slicings, $(u = \tau)$, often called NU slicings, are given by

$$u_B = X(\tau,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}). \tag{2}$$

2.2 Null Tetrads on $\mathfrak{I}^+$

In addition to the choice of coordinate systems there is the freedom to chose the asymptotic null tetrad system, $(l^a, m^a, \overline{m}^a, n^a)$. Since $\mathfrak{I}^+$ is a fixed null surface with its own generators, with null tangent vectors, [say n^a], it is natural to keep it fixed. The remaining tetrad freedom lies, essentially, in the choice of the other null leg, l^a. Most often l^a is chosen to be orthogonal to the Bondi, $u_B = constant$, slices. In this case we will refer to a Bondi coordinate/tetrad system. Relative to such a system any other tetrad set, (l^{*a}, m^{*a}, n^{*a}), is given by a null rotation[4] about n^a, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} l^{*a} &= l^a + b\overline{m}^a + \overline{b}m^a + b\overline{b}n^a, \qquad (3)\\ m^{*a} &= m^a + bn^a,\\ n^{*a} &= n^a,\\ b &= -L/r + O(r^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The *complex function* $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, the (complex stereographic) angle between the null vectors, l^{*a} and l^a at each point on $\mathfrak{I}^+$, is at this moment a completely arbitrary angle field but later will be dynamically determined. Depending on how $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ is chosen l^{*a} might or might not be surface forming. We will abuse the language/notation and refer to the tetrad systems associated with l^{*a} as the *twisting-type* tetrads as distinct from a Bondi tetrad even when l^{*a} is surface forming.

The function $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ and its choice will later *play the pivotal role* in this work. It will be seen that the vector field l^{*a} can be constructed by an appropriately chosen $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ so that it is asymptotically *shear-free.*

3 Quantities Defined on $\mathfrak{I}^+$ for Any Given Interior Einstein-Maxwell Space-Time

Using the spin-coefficient notation for the three complex components of the asymptotic Maxwell field and for the asymptotic Weyl tensor, we have, from the peeling theorem[5, 6], that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0 &= \frac{\phi_0^0}{r^3} + 0(r^{-4}) && (4)\\
\phi_1 &= \frac{\phi_1^0}{r^2} + 0(r^{-3}) && (5)\\
\phi_2 &= \frac{\phi_2^0}{r} + 0(r^{-2}) && (6)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_0 &= \frac{\psi_0^0}{r^5} + 0(r^{-6}) && (7)\\
\psi_1 &= \frac{\psi_1^0}{r^4} + 0(r^{-5}) && (8)\\
\psi_2 &= \frac{\psi_2^0}{r^3} + 0(r^{-4}) && (9)\\
\psi_3 &= \frac{\psi_3^0}{r^2} + 0(r^{-3}) && (10)\\
\psi_4 &= \frac{\psi_4^0}{r} + 0(r^{-2}) && (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_0^0, \phi_1^0, \phi_2^0, \psi_0^0, \psi_1^0, \psi_2^0, \psi_3^0$, and ψ_4^0 are functions defined on $\mathfrak{I}^+$. For a given space-time their explicit expressions depend on the choices of *both* coordinates and tetrads. Their evolution is determined by the asymptotic Einstein-Maxwell equations and the *choice of characteristic data.*

We adopt the following notation: expression written in the Bondi coordinate/tetrad system will appear without a star,'*', e.g., ψ_2^0, while for Bondi coordinates with a twisting tetrad a '*' will be used, e.g., ψ_2^{*0}. In the case of NU coordinates and twisted tetrad, a double star will be used, e.g. ψ_2^{**0},etc.

Using Bondi coordinates and tetrad, the free characteristic data is given only by the (complex) asymptotic shear,

$$\sigma = \sigma(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}),$$

while in Bondi coordinates, but with a twisting-type tetrad the free functions are

$$\sigma^* = \sigma^*(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \ \ \& \ \ L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$$

with $\sigma^*(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ and $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ carrying the same (redundant) information as did the Bondi $\sigma(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$.

In the case of NU coordinates and twisted tetrad, the free data is given by

$$\sigma^{**} = \sigma^{**}(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \quad \& \ \ V(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$$

with

$$V(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv du_B/d\tau = \partial_\tau G(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}).$$

The important point is that in both cases, the Bondi coordinates with twisting tetrad and the NU coordinates and twisted tetrad, all the information that was in $\sigma(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ is transferred to the new variables, (σ^*& L) or (σ^{**}& V). Later we will show that *all the information* can be shifted into an appropriately chosen $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, {which is also a complex function on $\mathfrak{I}^+$} with a vanishing σ^* and that in certain special cases (a pure 'electric' type σ) *all the information* can be shifted into the $V(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ with vanishing σ^{**}.

The relationship between the (ϕ_2^0, ϕ_1^0 ϕ_0^0), $(\psi_4^0, \psi_3^0, \psi_2^0, \psi_1^0, \psi_0^0)$ given in a Bondi tetrad and the (ϕ_2^{0*}, ϕ_1^{0*}, ϕ_0^{0*}), $(\psi_4^{*0}, \psi_3^{*0}, \psi_2^{*0}, \psi_1^{*0}, \psi_0^{*0})$ of a twisting tetrad is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0^0 &= \phi_0^{*0} + 2L\phi_1^{*0} + L^2\phi_2^{*0}, && (12)\\ \phi_1^0 &= \phi_1^{*0} + L\phi_2^{*0}, && (13)\\ \phi_2^0 &= \phi_2^{*0}, && (14) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0^0 &= \psi_0^{*0} + 4L\psi_1^{*0} + 6L^2\psi_2^{*0} + 4L^3\psi_3^{*0} + L^4\psi_4^{*0}, && (15)\\ \psi_1^0 &= \psi_1^{*0} + 3L\psi_2^{*0} + 3L^2\psi_3^{*0} + L^3\psi_4^{*0}, && (16) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2^0 &= \psi_2^{*0} + 2L\psi_3^{*0} + L^2\psi_4^{*0}, && (17) \\ \psi_3^0 &= \psi_3^{*0} + L\psi_4^{*0}, && (18) \\ \psi_4^0 &= \psi_4^{*0}. && (19) \end{aligned}$$

These are used, in the next section, going from the Bondi version of the Bianchi identities to the twisting version.

4 The Asymptotic Maxwell equations and the Bianchi Identities

The Asymptotic Maxwell equations and the Bianchi Identities in a Bondi coordinate and tetrad system are:

A. In a Bondi coordinate and tetrad system[5]:

Maxwell Equations

$$\phi_0^{0\cdot} + \eth\phi_1^0 - \sigma\phi_2^0 = 0, \qquad (20)$$

$$\phi_1^{0\cdot} + \eth\phi_2^0 = 0. \qquad (21)$$

Bianchi Identities

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0^{0\,\cdot} &= -\eth\psi_1^0 + 3\sigma\psi_2^0 + 3k\phi_0^0\overline{\phi}_2^0 && (22) \\ \psi_1^{0\,\cdot} &= -\eth\psi_2^0 + 2\sigma\psi_3^0 + 2k\phi_1^0\overline{\phi}_2^0 && (23) \\ \psi_2^{0\,\cdot} &= -\eth\psi_3^0 + \sigma\psi_4^0 + k\phi_2^0\overline{\phi}_2^0 && (24) \\ \psi_3^0 &= \eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} && (25) \\ \psi_4^0 &= -\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} && (26) \\ \psi_2^0 - \overline{\psi}_2^0 &= \overline{\eth}^2\sigma - \eth^2\overline{\sigma} + \overline{\sigma}\sigma^{\cdot} - \sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot}, && (27) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k = \frac{2G}{c^4}. \qquad (28)$$

By introducing the definition of the mass aspect

$$\Psi = \psi_2^0 + \eth^2\overline{\sigma} + \sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot}, \qquad (29)$$

we see that (27) is equivalent to

$$\overline{\Psi} = \Psi. \tag{30}$$

In the following section we show how the function $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ can be chosen so that the shear σ^*, of the twisting congruence vanishes. For the moment we just assume that $\sigma^* = 0$ for the twisting tetrad.

By using Eqs. (12)-(19) we find that for a Bondi Coordinate/Twisting Tetrad system with $\sigma^* = 0$, the same set of equations, the Maxwell and Bianchi identities, can be rewritten as:

B. In Bondi coordinates with a twisting tetrad and $\sigma^* = 0$:

Maxwell Equations

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0^{*0\cdot} + \eth\phi_1^{*0} + 2L^{\cdot}\phi_1^{*0} + L\phi_1^{*0\cdot} &= 0, && (31)\\ \phi_1^{*0\cdot} + \eth\phi_2^{*0} + (L\phi_2^{*0})^{\cdot} &= 0. && (32) \end{aligned}$$

Bianchi Identities

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0^{*0\,\cdot} &= -\eth\psi_1^{*0} - L\psi_1^{*0\,\cdot} - 4L^{\cdot}\psi_1^{*0} + 3k\phi_0^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} && (33)\\ \psi_1^{*0\,\cdot} &= -\eth\psi_2^{*0} - L\psi_2^{*0\,\cdot} - 3L^{\cdot}\psi_2^{*0} + 2k\phi_1^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} && (34)\\ \psi_2^{*0\,\cdot} &= -\eth\psi_3^{*0} - L\,\psi_3^{*0\,\cdot} - 2L^{\cdot}\psi_3^{*0} + k\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} && (35)\\ \psi_3^{*0} &= \eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + L\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} && (36)\\ \psi_4^{*0} &= -\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} && (37)\\ \psi_2^{*0} - \overline{\psi}_2^{*0} &= \overline{\eth}^2\sigma - \eth^2\overline{\sigma} + \overline{\sigma}\sigma^{\cdot} - \sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} \\ &\quad + 2\overline{L}\,\overline{\eth}\sigma^{\cdot} - 2L\eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + \overline{L}^2\sigma^{\cdot\cdot} - L^2\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot}, && (38) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma \equiv \eth L + LL^{\cdot}. \tag{39}$$

By using (17) in (29) we finds that

$$\Psi = \psi_2^{*0} + 2L\eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + L^2\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} + \eth^2\overline{\sigma} + \sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot}. \tag{40}$$

so that (38) is again equivalent to

$$\Psi - \overline{\Psi} = 0. \tag{41}$$

The dot denotes derivative with respect to u_B.

In the very special case where the Bondi shear is of pure 'electric type', the 'twist' of the asymptotically shear-free null congruence l^{*a} can be chosen to vanish and hence the l^{*a} would be orthogonal to the cuts of some constant τ slices of $\mathfrak{I}^+$. The slices, given by $\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ or $u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, yield the parametric relations, (see below, Eqs.(47), (48) and (51))

$$\begin{aligned} L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) &= \eth_{(\tau)} X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \\ V(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) &= \eth_{\tau} X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv X' \\ u_B &= X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \end{aligned}$$

To perform the coordinate transformation to $(\tau,\ \zeta,\ \overline{\zeta})$ $\{$from $(u_B,\ \zeta,\ \overline{\zeta})\}$, referred to as NU coordinates, of the Maxwell equations and Bianchi identities, it is convenient to note that

$$\begin{aligned} \eth\eta &= \eth_{(\tau)}\eta - \frac{L}{V}\eta' \\ \eta^{\cdot} &= \frac{1}{V}\eta' \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where $\eth_{(\tau)}$ is the edth operator taking τ constant, η is a function with spin weight s and η' is the derivative of η with respect to τ. Then we have:

C. In NU coordinates and 'twisting' tetrad with $\sigma^{**} = 0$:

Maxwell Equations

$$V\phi_0^{**0\prime} + \eth_{(\tau)}[V^2\phi_1^{**0}] = 0, \tag{43}$$

$$\phi_1^{**0\prime} + \eth_{(\tau)}[V\phi_2^{**0}] = 0. \tag{44}$$

Bianchi Identities

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0^{**0\,\prime} &= -V\eth_{(\tau)}\psi_1^{**0} - 4[\,\eth_{(\tau)}V]\psi_1^{**0} + 3kV\phi_0^{**0}\overline{\phi}_2^{**0} \qquad (45) \\ \psi_1^{**0\,\prime} &= -V\eth_{(\tau)}\psi_2^{**0} - 3[\eth_{(\tau)}V]\psi_2^{**0} + 2kV\phi_1^{**0}\overline{\phi}_2^{**0} \\ \psi_2^{**0\,\prime} &= -V\eth_{(\tau)}\psi_3^{**0} - 2[\,\eth_{(\tau)}V]\psi_3^{**0} + kV\phi_2^{**0}\overline{\phi}_2^{**0} \\ \psi_3^{**0} &= V^{-1}[\overline{\eth}^2_{(\tau)}\eth_{(\tau)}V + 2\overline{\eth}_{(\tau)}V] - V^{-2}[\overline{\eth}^2_{(\tau)}V][\eth_{(\tau)}V] \\ \psi_4^{**0} &= V^{-3}[V'\overline{\eth}^2_{(\tau)}V - V\overline{\eth}^2_{(\tau)}V'] \\ \psi_2^{**0} - \overline{\psi}_2^{**0} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that we have not lost any generality when going to the Bondi/Twisting tetrad but when we change to the NU coordinates the equations *are valid only when the original Bondi shear* $\sigma(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ *was pure 'electric'.* In other words all the information in an 'electric' shear can be put into the real $V(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$. Though in the present work these equations in the NU coordinates will not be used, they are included here for future use with further applications, including an analysis of the (charged) Robinson-Trautman[7] equations.

5 Dynamics on $\mathfrak{I}^+$

5.1 The asymptotic shear-free condition

We first show that there are special choices of $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ leading to the twisting tetrads for which the shear, $\sigma^* = 0$. To find this family of functions $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, a differential equation must be solved where the freedom in the solution is four arbitrary complex analytic functions of a single complex variable, i.e., an arbitrary complex analytic curve in a four-complex dimensional parameter space. [Surprisingly, the parameter space is the well-studied H -space.] All the information in the original Bondi characteristic data, $\sigma(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, will have been shifted to the $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$. Given an $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ with *any one of these curves,* we could go backwards to recover the original Bondi $\sigma(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$. The dynamics lies in the unique determination of this curve from other considerations that are describe later. The construction of these quantities, the $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, the complex curve, the l^*, etc., though done in a particular Bondi coordinate system, are invariant under supertranslations.

We begin by observing that if we start with a Bondi coordinate/tetrad system with a given shear $\sigma(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$, then [after an unpleasant calculation[4]] the shear of the new null vector l^{*a}, after the null rotation, Eqs.(3), is related to the old one by

$$\sigma^*(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}) = \sigma(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}) - \eth L - LL^{\cdot}.$$

The function $L(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta})$ is then chosen so that the new shear vanishes, and hence, it must satisfy

$$\eth L + LL^{\cdot} = \sigma(u_B,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}). \tag{46}$$

Remark 1 *The special case, $\eth L + LL^{\cdot} = 0$, played an important early role in the development of twistor theory, leading immediately to the relationship in flat space between shear-free null geodesic congruences and twistor theory. It leads us to conjecture that Eq.(46) might play a role in some asymptotic form of twistor theory.*

Though Eq.(46) is non-linear with an arbitrary right-side and appears quite formidable, considerable understanding of it can be found by the following procedure:

Assume the existence of a *complex* analytic function of $(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ {where $\overline{\zeta}$ is allowed to be freed up from the complex conjugate of ζ and where u_B can take complex values},

$$\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \tag{47}$$

that is invertible in the sense that it can, in principle, be written as

$$u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{48}$$

Remark 2 *We emphasize the obvious: since u_B is allowed to be complex, then the function $X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ is also complex.*

Then writing

$$L = -\frac{\eth T}{T^{\cdot}}, \tag{49}$$

and using the implicit derivatives of Eq.(48),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= X_{,\tau} T^{\cdot} \\ 0 &= \eth_{(\tau)} X + X' \eth T, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

we obtain

$$L = \eth_{(\tau)} X. \tag{51}$$

Again we mention that prime means the τ derivative and $\eth_{(\tau)}$ means $\eth$ with τ held constant. Finally, with this implicit view of X and T, Eq.(46) becomes

$$\eth_{(\tau)}^{2} X = \sigma(X, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{52}$$

Remark 3 *This equation had been derived earlier and was referred to as the 'good cut equation'[8, 9]. In general it has a four complex dimensional solution space that has been named H-space. In its original form it had its origin in the search for complex null surfaces that were asymptotically shear-free. Here we are looking for real null geodesic congruences that are, in general,* ***not*** *surface forming, i.e., they have twist, but are asymptotically shear-free[10].*

Theorem 1 *Note that using the reparametrization*

$$\tau \Rightarrow \tau^* = F(\tau) = T^*(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \tag{53}$$

leaves Eq.(49) and the entire construction invariant. This fact will be used later for certain simplifications.

Remark 4 *We mention that if the shear in Eq.(52), is pure electric [i.e.,* $\sigma(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = \eth^2 S(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ *with* $S(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ *a real function] then the associated H-space is flat and has a real four-dimensional subspace that can be identified with Minkowski space.*

As just mentioned, the solutions to Eq.(52) depend on four complex parameters, say z^a, and can be summarized by

$$u_B = X(z^a, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{54}$$

However, since we are interested in solutions of the form, Eq.(48), i.e.,

$$u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}), \tag{55}$$

all we must do is chose in, H-space, an arbitrary complex world-line, $z^a = \xi^a(\tau)$, and substitute it into Eq.(54) to obtain the form (55). Furthermore, it can be seen that the solution, (55), can be written {with a special choice of H-space coordinates}as

$$u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = \xi^a(\tau) l_a(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$$

with $X_{l\geq 2}$ containing spherical harmonics, $l \geq 2$ and

$$l_a(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1, -\frac{\zeta + \overline{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta}}, i\frac{\zeta - \overline{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{1 - \zeta\overline{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta}}) \tag{56}$$

which has only the $l = 0$ and 1, spherical harmonics. This result follows from the fact that $\eth_{(\tau)}^2$ in the good-cut equation annihilates the $l = 0$ and 1 terms. The $\xi^a(\tau)$ does get fed back into the higher harmonics via the $\sigma(X, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$.

Our solution to Eq.(46) can now be expressed implicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) &= \eth_{(\tau)} X = \xi^a(\tau) m_a(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + \eth_{(\tau)} X_{l\geq 2}(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) && (57) \\ u_B &= X = \xi^a(\tau) l_a(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). && (58) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5 *We emphasize that the complex world-line, $\xi^a(\tau)$, is not in physical space but is in the parameter space, H-space. At this point we are not suggesting that there is anything profound about this observation. It is simply there and whatever meaning it might have is obscure. This observation applies both to the vacuum Einstein equations as well as to the Einstein-Maxwell equations. Shortly we will see how to make unique choices of the world-line; in general the Maxwell field will have its own complex world-line while the Weyl tensor will determine a different world-line. We will consider here only the case where these two world-lines coincide.*

Remark 6 *To invert the latter equation, (58), to obtain $\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, is, in general, virtually impossible. There however is a relatively easy method, by iteration, to get approximate inversions to any accuracy. {See Appendix A}*

Remark 7 *We have tacitly assumed throughout that the original asymptotically flat space-time metric is real analytic or can be arbitrarily well approximated by a real analytic metric.*

If we expand $\xi^a(\tau)$ in a Taylor series and regroup the terms with real coefficients and those with imaginary coefficients separately, we can write

$$\xi^a(\tau) = \xi_R^a(\tau) + i\xi_I^a(\tau). \tag{59}$$

This decomposition becomes important later.

Remark 8 *The asymptotic twist,* $\Sigma(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$*, {a measure of how far the vector field* l^{*a} *is from being surface forming} is defined by*

$$2i\Sigma = \eth\overline{L} + L\overline{L}^{\cdot} - \overline{\eth}L - \overline{L}L^{\cdot}. \tag{60}$$

If L *is obtained from a shear that is pure 'electric', then the* $\xi^a(\tau)$ *can be chosen so that the asymptotic twist vanishes.*

It is from the fact that we can chose $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ as a solution of Eq.(46), leading to $\sigma^* = \sigma^{**} = 0$, that is the justification for the form of Eqs.(31)-(41).

5.2 Determining the complex curve.

The argument and method for the unique determination of the complex curve (and eventually the definitions of spin and center of mass motion) are not along conventional lines of thought. To try to clarify our argument, a brief detour might be worthwhile.

It is well-known that for a static charge distribution, the electric dipole moment is given by the total charge times the center of charge position given in the 'static' Lorentz frame. In the case of a dynamic charge it is more difficult since the center of charge depends on the choice of Lorentz frame. Nevertheless by having, in any one frame, the center of charge at the coordinate origin, the electric dipole moment will vanish. In the case of a single charged particle moving on an arbitrary real world line, (the Lienard-Wiechert Maxwell field) the electric dipole moment vanishes when the coordinate origin follows the particle's motion or equivalently, the dipole is given by the particles displacement from the coordinate origin times the charge. The asymptotically defined dipole moment also vanishes when it is calculated (or extracted) from the $l = 1$ part of the coefficient of the r^{-3} term of $\phi_0 = F_{ab}l^a m^b$, where l^a is a tangent vector of the light-cones that are attached to the particles world-line. Analogously, it was shown[11] that the magnetic dipole moment of a charged particle can be 'viewed' as arising from a charge moving in complex Minkowski space along a complex world-line. It is given by the charge times the imaginary displacement. {We emphasize again that the complex world-lines are a bookkeeping device and no claim is made that particles are really moving in complex space. The *real* effect of the complex world-line picture is to create a twisting real null congruence.}

If the light-cone from the complex world-line is followed to $\mathfrak{I}^{+}$, (equivalent to introducing an appropriate asymptotic twist for the null vector l^a that is used in $\phi_0 = F_{ab}l^a m^b$) then both the asymptotic electric and magnetic dipoles vanish. This argument is given in considerable detail in the paper[11, 12].

The idea was to generalize this construction to GR. In linearized GR and in the exact case of the Kerr and charged Kerr metrics, the mass-dipole moment and the spin appear in the real and imaginary parts of the $l = 1$ harmonic of the coefficient of the r^{-4} part of the Weyl component, ψ_1^0, in a Bondi coordinate/tetrad system. This observation was extended to all asymptotically flat vacuum solutions by going to an asymptotically twisting tetrad, with an appropriately chosen H-space complex curve [see below], such that the new Weyl component, $\psi_1^{*\,0}$, has a *vanishing* $l = 1$ harmonic in the coefficient of its r^{-4} part. {Note that for algebraically special type II space-times the entire quantity $\psi_1^{*0} = 0$, so by requiring that the coefficient of the lowest harmonic , $l = 1$, should vanish, we are imitating the type II properties as closely as possible.} We refer to this curve as the *intrinsic complex center of mass world-line* and, roughly speaking, it will identify the center of mass and the spin angular momentum from its real and imaginary parts times the mass. Effectively, by choosing the world-line so that the $l = 1$ harmonic vanishes, we have shifted - in some sense - the 'origin' to the complex world-line.

To apply this idea to our discussion of twisting null congruences for the Einstein-Maxwell case, we first treat the Maxwell equations. From the pair, (31) and (32), that is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0^{*0\cdot} + \eth\phi_1^{*0} + 2L^{\cdot}\phi_1^{*0} + L\phi_1^{*0\cdot} &= 0, && (61) \\ \phi_1^{*0\cdot} + \eth\phi_2^{*0} + (L\phi_2^{*0})^{\cdot} &= 0, && (62) \end{aligned}$$

we require that in (61), the $l = 1$ part of $\phi_0^{*\,0}$ should vanish. This determines, in principle, the complex world-line, $\xi^k(\tau)$, and leads to the definition of the *complex center of charge world-line.* {In practice we must use approximations for this determination.} In this work, as was mentioned earlier, we are making, though it is not necessary, the *special assumption* that the two complex world-lines, the *complex center of charge world-line* and the *complex center of mass world-line* [determined later] *coincide.*

Remark 9 *In what follows we use the recently introduced Tensor Spin-s Harmonics[13],* $Y^{(s)}_{(l)i\ldots j}$*, defined from the tensor products of the three basic Eu-*

clidean vectors $(c_i, m_i, \overline{m}_i)$. *See Appendix C for some notation and gr-qc/0508028 for details. In particular* $(Y^0_{1i} \equiv -c_i \equiv -2l_i,\ Y^1_{1i} \equiv m_i, Y^{-1}_{1i} \equiv \overline{m}_i)$.

By using the freedom in the reparametrization of τ given by (53) and Eq. (56) in Eqs. (57) and (58) and expanding, we obtain that (see appendix A for details)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &\approx u_B - \xi^i(u_B) l_i(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) && (63) \\ \xi^a(\tau) &\equiv (\xi^0(\tau), \xi^k(\tau)\,) = (\sqrt{2}\tau, \xi^k(\tau)\,) && (64) \\ \xi^k(\tau) &\approx \xi^k(u_B) + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{i\cdot}(u_B)\xi^j(u)Y^0_{1j} && (65) \end{aligned}$$

and hence from Eq.(57) and

$$Y^1_{1i}Y^0_{1j} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}Y^1_{1k} + \frac{1}{2}Y^1_{2ij}. \tag{66}$$

we find

$$L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = [\xi^k(u_B) + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot}(u_B)\xi^j(u_B)]Y^1_{1k} + Y_{l\geq 2}. \tag{67}$$

Since ϕ^{*0}_1 and ϕ^{*0}_2 have spin weight 0 and -1 respectively, then they can be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{*0}_1 &= C + C^i Y^0_{1i} + ... \\ \phi^{*0}_2 &= G^i Y^{-1}_{1i} + ... && (68) \end{aligned}$$

For our approximations, (essentially, perturbations off the Reissner-Nordstrom metric), we choose C as a zero order quantity, and $\xi^i(u_B)$, $C^i(u_B)$ and $G^i(u_B)$ as first-order. We are considering only $l = 0$ and 1 terms, i.e., the monopole and dipole.

From Eqs. (67) and (68) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \eth\phi^{*0}_1 &= -2C^i Y^1_{1i} + ... \\ L\phi^{*0\cdot}_1 &= \{C^\cdot[\xi^k + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot}\xi^j] + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^i C^{j\cdot}\}Y^1_{1k} + ... \\ L^\cdot\phi^{*0}_1 &= \{C[\xi^{k\cdot} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^j] + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot}C^j\}Y^1_{1k} + ..., && (69) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Eq.(66).

Therefore, working with the leading terms, we find that the condition $[\phi_0^{*0}]_{l=1} = 0$, is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} C^k &= \frac{1}{2} C^{\cdot} [\xi^k + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijk} \xi^{i\cdot} \xi^j] + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijk} \xi^i C^{j\cdot} \\ &\quad + C[\xi^{k\cdot} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijk} \xi^{i\cdot\cdot} \xi^j] + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijk} \xi^{i\cdot} C^j. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

To see the meaning of this condition we go back to Eq. (62). By using Eqs. (67) and (68), a direct computation shows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \eth\phi_2^{*0} &= G^i Y_{1i}^0 + ..., \\ L^{\cdot}\phi_2^{*0} &= \frac{1}{3} \xi^{j\cdot} G^j - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijk} \xi^{i\cdot} G^j Y_{1k}^0 + ..., \\ L\phi_2^{0\cdot} &= \frac{1}{3} \xi^j G^{j\cdot} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijk} \xi^i G^{j\cdot} Y_{1k}^0] + ..., \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where we have used the product decomposition

$$Y_{1k}^1 Y_{1f}^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{kf} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{kfl} Y_{1l}^0 - \frac{1}{12} Y_{2kf}^0.$$

Therefore, considering only the leading terms and the $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ harmonics we find, by using Eqs. (71), that Eq. (62) requires

$$C^{\cdot} = -\frac{1}{3} [\xi^j G^j]^{\cdot}, \tag{72}$$

$$C^{f\cdot} = -G^f + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijf} [\xi^i G^j]^{\cdot}. \tag{73}$$

If we assume, for the moment, that $\xi^i(\tau)$ and therefore $\xi^i(u_B)$ is known, then Eqs. (70), (72) and (73) are a system of three differential equations for C, C^i and G^i. Allowing only second order terms of $\xi^i(u)$, the solution to this system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &= q + \frac{q}{3} [\xi^j \xi^{j\cdot\cdot}] + ..., \\ C^f &= q \xi^{f\cdot} + ..., \\ G^f &= -q[\xi^f + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{ijf} \xi^i \xi^{j\cdot}]^{\cdot\cdot} + ..., \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where q is a constant. Therefore, the condition that ϕ_0^{*0} should have no $l=1$ part, implies that the solution of the Maxwell equations up to $l=1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0^{*0} &= 0 \\
\phi_1^{*0} &= q+\frac{q}{3}[\xi^{j}\xi^{j\cdot\cdot}]+q\xi^{f\cdot}Y_{1f}^{0} \\
\phi_2^{*0} &= -q[\xi^{f\cdot}+\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijf}\xi^{i}\xi^{j\cdot\cdot}]^{\cdot}Y_{1f}^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Observe that ϕ_2^{*0} can be written as

$$\phi_2^{*0}\equiv\phi_2^{0}=-D^{i\cdot\cdot}Y_{1i}^{-1}, \tag{76}$$

where

$$D^{f}(u_B)\equiv q[\xi^{f}(u_B)+\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijf}\xi^{i}(u_B)\xi^{j\cdot}(u_B)], \tag{77}$$

which, up to our order of approximation, is the complex dipole moment. This means that if we know the complex dipole moment then the complex center of charge can be obtained. This result had been obtained earlier[12] for Maxwell fields in Minkowski space using the following procedure: first the asymptotic Maxwell equations in a Bondi coordinates/tetrad system were integrated assuming that the complex dipole moment is given and then by using the twisting tetrad version of the Maxwell field, ϕ_0^{*0}, the $\xi^i(\tau)$ was determined by requiring that the $l=1$ part of ϕ_0^{*0} should vanish.

Note that in above argument, we have not obtained any dynamics for the complex curve $\xi^i(\tau)$; all we did was relate it to the electric and magnetic dipole moments of the Maxwell field. The dynamics is found by going to the Weyl tensor.

Explicitly we study the asymptotic Bianchi identities under the condition that the $l=1$ part of ψ_1^{*0} should vanish. We retain the assumption that the $l=1$ of ϕ_0^{*0} vanish with the same choice of $\xi^i(\tau)$, i.e., that the two complex curves coincide.

Starting with the set of equations (34) and (35), namely

$$\psi_1^{*0\cdot} = -\eth\psi_2^{*0}-L\psi_2^{*0\cdot}-3L^{\cdot}\psi_2^{*0}+2k\phi_1^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} \tag{78}$$

$$\psi_2^{*0\cdot} = -\eth\psi_3^{*0}-L\,\psi_3^{*0\cdot}-2L^{\cdot}\psi_3^{*0}+k\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} \tag{79}$$

we require, that in (78), the $l = 1$ part of ψ_1^{*0} should vanish. This leads (via approximations) to differential equations for the $\xi^a(\tau)$. Its evolution will be seen to be driven by the original Bondi shear and the Maxwell field.

Before turning to the dynamics of $\xi^a(\tau)$ we recall the definition of the Bondi mass-momentum and its relationship to the mass aspect Ψ and its evolution. Eq.(79) can be rewritten as

$$\Psi^{\cdot} = \sigma^{\cdot}\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + k\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0}, \tag{80}$$

$$\sigma \equiv \eth L + LL^{\cdot} \tag{81}$$

$$\psi_2^{*0} = \Psi - 2L\eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} - L^2\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} - \eth^2\overline{\sigma} - \sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot}. \tag{82}$$

$$\phi_2^{*0} = = -q[\xi^{f\cdot} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijf}\xi^i\xi^{j\cdot\cdot}]^{\cdot}Y_{1f}^{-1} + \tag{83}$$

Using the definition[1][see Appendix B] that the Bondi four-momentum, P_a, can be extracted from Ψ by

$$P_a(u_B) \equiv (Mc, -P^i) = -\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int \Psi l_a dS \tag{84}$$

then, by inversion, one can see that

$$\Psi(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}G}{c^2}M - \frac{6G}{c^3}P^iY_{1i}^0 + \Psi_{l\geq 2} \tag{85}$$

where $\Psi_{l\geq 2}$ contain only harmonics $l \geq 2$. Since Ψ is real it follows that both M and P^i are real.

The time evolution of $P_a(u)$ is found from Eq.(80) by integration over the sphere, i.e.,

$$P_a^{\cdot}(u_B) = -\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int(\sigma^{\cdot}\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + k\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0})l_a dS, \tag{86}$$

the Bondi mass/momentum loss.

If ψ_2^{*0}, from Eq.(82), is now substituted into (78) we obtain after using Eq.(80) and regrouping, a truly ugly equation that can be written as

$$\psi_1^{*0\cdot} + \eth\Psi + 3L^{\cdot}\Psi - \eth^3\overline{\sigma} + k[L\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} - 2\phi_1^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{0}] = (NL), \tag{87}$$

with the non-linear terms, (NL), expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}(NL) = {} & \eth[\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot}\sigma] + 2\sigma\eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + 3L\eth^2\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + L^3\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot\cdot} + 3L\sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} + 3L^2\eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} \\ & + 3L^{\cdot}[2L\eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + L^2\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} + \eth^2\overline{\sigma} + \sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot}].\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

The grouping has the non-linear higher order terms put into (NL) while the 'controllable' (lower order) terms are on the left side. It is from this equation and (86) we find the equations of motion. We require, as said earlier, that ψ_1^{*0} (and of course $\psi_1^{*0\cdot}$) have no $l = 1$ harmonics. Since $\eth^3\overline{\sigma}$ has spin $s = 2$, there is no $l = 1$ term and thus we see that Eq.(87) reduces to the condition that

$$[\eth\Psi + 3L^{\cdot}\Psi + k(L\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} - 2\phi_1^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{0})]_{l=1} = (NL)_{l=1}. \tag{89}$$

It is this equation that we must analyze, or more accurately approximate, by looking only at the leading spherical harmonic terms. From Eqs.(85) and (67), i.e., from

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(u,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}) &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}G}{c^2}M - \frac{6G}{c^3}P^iY_{1i}^0 + ... \\
L(u,\zeta,\overline{\zeta}) &= [\xi^k(u) + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot}(u)\xi^j(u)]Y_{1k}^1 + ...
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\eth\Psi = 12\frac{G}{c^3}P^iY_{1i}^1 \tag{90}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
3L^{\cdot}\Psi &= -\frac{6\sqrt{2}G}{c^2}M[\xi^{k\cdot} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^j]Y_{1k}^1 - \frac{18G}{c^3}\xi^{i\cdot}P^jY_{1i}^1Y_{1j}^0 \\
&= -\frac{6\sqrt{2}G}{c^2}M[\xi^{k\cdot} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^j]Y_{1k}^1 - \frac{18iG}{c^3\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot}P^jY_{1k}^1 + Y\{l \geq 2\} \\
\phi_1^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} &= -q^2\{[\overline{\xi}^{k\cdot\cdot} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}(\overline{\xi}^i\overline{\xi}^{j\cdot\cdot})^{\cdot}]Y_{1k}^1 + \overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{j\cdot}Y_{1i}^1Y_{1j}^0\} \\
&= -q^2\{\overline{\xi}^{k\cdot\cdot} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}(\overline{\xi}^i\overline{\xi}^{j\cdot\cdot})^{\cdot} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{j\cdot}\}Y_{1k}^1 + Y\{l \geq 2\}. \qquad (91)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Eq. (66). Then, from Eq.(89), keeping only the first and second order terms, we have from the $l = 1$ harmonic

$$\begin{aligned}
&12\frac{G}{c^3}P^kY_{1k}^1 - \frac{6\sqrt{2}G}{c^2}M[\xi^{k\cdot} + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^j]Y_{1k}^1 - \frac{18iG}{c^3\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot}P^jY_{1k}^1 \\
&+\frac{4Gq^2}{c^4}\{\overline{\xi}^{k\cdot\cdot} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}[(\overline{\xi}^i\overline{\xi}^{j\cdot\cdot})^{\cdot} - 2\overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{j\cdot}]\}Y_{1k}^1 = 0, \qquad (92)
\end{aligned}$$

our basic equation which determines the Bondi momentum in terms of the complex world-line.

Rescaling u_B to the conventional Bondi coordinate^1 u_c , by $u_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}cu_c$, we have our basic equation, the Bondi momentum in terms of the complex world-line;

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^k = M[\xi^{k\cdot} + \frac{i}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{j}] + \frac{3i}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi^{i\cdot}P^{j} \\
-\frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\{\overline{\xi}^{k\cdot\cdot} - \frac{i}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}[(\overline{\xi}^{i}\overline{\xi}^{j\cdot\cdot})^{\cdot} - 2\overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{j\cdot}]\}. \qquad (93)
\end{aligned}
$$

footnote^1 : Many years ago, for reasons of symmetry and convenience the conventional radial coordinate r_c was rescaled by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$, i.e., $r = \sqrt{2}r_c$, so that to keep a coordinate condition the retarded time coordinate u_c was rescaled so that our $u_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u_c$. Here we will restore the conventional u_c but also include the ordinary time units {i.e., with c$\neq$ 1} we take $u_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}cu_c$, so that derivatives $\partial_{u_B} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{c}\partial_{u_c}$.

By using the decomposition

$$\xi^k = \xi_R^k + i\xi_I^k,$$

and then iterating, i.e., by substituting into the right-side of Eq.(93) the real linearized expression for P^k,

$$P^k = M\xi_R^{k\cdot} - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot},$$

into (93), we find that the Real Part of Eq. (93) is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^k \; = \; & M[\xi_R^{k\cdot} - \frac{3}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot} - \frac{1}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}(\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j})] - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot} \\
& +\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}\{6\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot} - \xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{i\cdot} + \xi_I^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \xi_R^{i}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot}\}, \qquad (94)
\end{aligned}
$$

while the Imaginary Part is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 = M\xi_I^{k\cdot} + \frac{M}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} - \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j}] + \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_I^{k\cdot\cdot} \qquad (95) \\
& +\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} - \xi_I^{i}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} - \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j\cdot}].
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now obtain the equations of motion for ξ_R^k by taking the u_B derivative of Eq.(94), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{k\cdot} &= M^{\cdot}[\xi_R^{k\cdot} - \frac{3}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot} - \frac{1}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}(\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j})] - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot\cdot} \\ &\quad + M[\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot} - \frac{3}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}(\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot})^{\cdot} - \frac{1}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}(\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j})^{\cdot}] \\ &\quad + \frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}\{6\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot} - \xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{i\cdot} + \xi_I^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \xi_R^{i}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot}\}^{\cdot}. \end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

and equate the right side to the Bondi mass, momentum loss, i.e., to Eq.(86)

$$P_a^{\cdot}(u_B) = -\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int(\sigma^{\cdot}\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + k\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0})l_a dS. \tag{97}$$

$$k = \frac{2G}{c^4} \tag{98}$$

{Note that care must be exercised when using this equation since all the ∂_{u_B} derivatives must be replaced by the rescaled derivatives $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{c}\partial_{u_B}$.}

In order to evaluate the integrand and the integral, we assume only the lowest gravitational harmonics, i.e., the quadrupole radiation and hence take

$$\sigma = \sigma^{ij}(u_B)Y_{2ij}^2 + Y_{l\geq 3}, \tag{99}$$

where $Y_{2ij}^2 \equiv m_i m_j$. Then

$$\sigma^{\cdot}\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} = \sigma^{ij\cdot}\overline{\sigma}^{kl\cdot}Y_{2ij}^2 Y_{2kl}^{-2} + ..., \tag{100}$$

with $Y_{2kl}^{-2} \equiv \overline{Y_{2ij}^2}$. After a direct but lengthy computation[13] to evaluate the products of the harmonics, we find that

$$\sigma^{\cdot}\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} = \frac{1}{5}\overline{\sigma}^{ij\cdot}\sigma^{ij\cdot} + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{5}\overline{\sigma}^{il\cdot}\sigma^{jl\cdot}\epsilon_{ijk}Y_{1k}^0 + Y_{l\geq 2}. \tag{101}$$

Likewise we have that

$$\phi_2^{*0}\overline{\phi}_2^{*0} = \frac{q^2}{3}\overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{i\cdot\cdot} - \frac{iq^2}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{j\cdot\cdot}Y_{1k}^0 + Y_{l\geq 2}. \tag{102}$$

Putting Eqs.(102) and (101) into (97) the evolution for the mass and the three momentum is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{\cdot} &= -\frac{2q^2}{3c^5}\overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{i\cdot\cdot} - \frac{c}{10G}\overline{\sigma}^{ij\cdot}\sigma^{ij\cdot}, \\
&= -\frac{2q^2}{3c^5}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot} + \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}] - \frac{c}{10G}\overline{\sigma}^{ij\cdot}\sigma^{ij\cdot}, && (103) \\
P^{k\cdot} &= \frac{iq^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\xi}^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi^{j\cdot\cdot} - \frac{ic^2}{15G}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\sigma}^{il\cdot}\sigma^{jl\cdot} \\
&= -\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot} - \xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}] - \frac{ic^2}{15G}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\sigma}^{il\cdot}\sigma^{jl\cdot} && (104)
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\xi^k = \xi_R^k + i\xi_I^k$ in Eq. (104) and substituting the resulting equation into Eq. (96), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
M\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot} &= \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot\cdot} + M[\frac{3}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}(\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot})^{\cdot} + \frac{1}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}(\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j})^{\cdot}] \\
&\quad -\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot} - \xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}] - \frac{ic^2}{15G}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\sigma}^{il\cdot}\sigma^{jl\cdot} \\
&\quad -M^{\cdot}[\xi_R^{k\cdot} - \frac{3}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot} - \frac{1}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}(\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j})] \\
&\quad -\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[6\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot} - \xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{i\cdot} + \xi_I^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \xi_R^{i}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot}]^{\cdot}. && (105)
\end{aligned}$$

which after a lengthy calculation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
M\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot} &= \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \frac{M}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}[3\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot} + \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j}]^{\cdot} \\
&\quad -\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[5\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot} + 7\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \xi_I^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \xi_R^{i}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot}] \\
&\quad -M^{\cdot}\xi_R^{k\cdot} + \frac{M^{\cdot}}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}[3\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot} + \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j}] \\
&\quad -\frac{ic^2}{15G}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\sigma}^{il\cdot}\sigma^{jl\cdot}. && (106)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using for $M^{\cdot}$ the Bondi mass loss equation

$$M^{\cdot} = -\frac{2q^2}{3c^5}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot} + \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}] - \frac{c}{10G}\overline{\sigma}^{ij\cdot}\sigma^{ij\cdot},$$

in Eq. (106) and replacing M by $M_0 = constant$, the zero order of the Bondi mass we obtain, the equations of motion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_0\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot} &= \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \frac{M_0}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}[3\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot} + \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} + \xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j}]^{\cdot} \\
&\quad -\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[5\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot} + 7\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \xi_I^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot} + \xi_R^{i}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot}] \\
&\quad +\frac{2q^2}{3c^5}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot} + \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}]\xi_R^{k\cdot} - \frac{ic^2}{15G}\epsilon_{ijk}\overline{\sigma}^{il\cdot}\sigma^{jl\cdot}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{107}
$$

In the last computation we dropped many higher order terms.
For the Imaginary part, replacing M by M_0 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_0\xi_I^{k\cdot} &= -\frac{M_0}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j} - \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j}] - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_I^{k\cdot\cdot} \\
&\quad -\frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} - \xi_I^{i}\xi_I^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot} - \xi_I^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_I^{j\cdot}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{108}
$$

Before we discuss the meaning of Eqs.(107) and (108) in the following section, we turn to the special case of $\xi_I^{j} = 0$ and $\overline{\sigma}^{il} = \sigma^{il}$. The equations of motion then reduce to

$$
M_0\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot} = \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot\cdot} + [\frac{2q^2}{3c^5}\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot} + \frac{c}{10G}\sigma^{ij\cdot}\sigma^{ij\cdot}]\xi_R^{k\cdot}, \tag{109}
$$

and the Imaginary part

$$
\frac{M_0}{2c}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot}\xi_R^{j}] + \frac{q^2}{3c^4}\epsilon_{ijk}[\xi_R^{i}\xi_R^{j\cdot\cdot\cdot}] = 0 \tag{110}
$$

which, using Eq.(109) up to third order, is the identity

$$
\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_R^{j}[M_0\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot} - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{i\cdot\cdot\cdot}] \equiv 0.
$$

As an aside we note that the complex curve in H-space that we wish to determine is given by the expression, $z^a = \xi^b(\tau)$, with the complex parameter τ, while in the above expressions for the determination of the curve we have treated ξ^b to be a complex function of the retarded time u_B, which can take on complex values but we have treated as real. In *terms* of their functional form, $\xi^b(\tau)$ is identical to $\xi^b(u_B)$, but in terms of the usage in different equations, the transition via $\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ and its linearized approximation (done in Appendix A) is different and very important. We have, directly, by this approximation been throwing out higher order non-linear terms.

6 Interpretations, Discussion and Conclusions

The basic idea we are espousing is that there is, in H-Space, a unique complex curve that can be called the intrinsic complex center of mass world-line and complex center of charge line. It determines and also is determined from *a geometric structure on the physical space-time, the null direction field,* which *is* given either by the angle field $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ or by the tangent field of the asymptotically shear-free null congruence l^{*a}. They are geometric quantities and exist in the physical space-time independent of the choice of coordinates or tetrad on $\mathfrak{I}^+$. However the complex curve has no immediate physical meaning. There are however a series of observations that do suggest a physical interpretation. Furthermore there are many clues in earlier literature[14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] to its significance.

The basic idea is not to take the parameter space, H-space, as real or concrete in any sense - but instead treat it as an observation or holographic space. In the physical space-time we are dealing with a complicated physical system, a gravitating - charged mass distribution, that creates curvature and an electromagnetic field that we can 'see' or observe only from its asymptotic behavior; we can not see individual masses, charges, spins or particle trajectories but instead only the gross or large-scale behavior. The idea is that the H-space is like a screen that captures certain images of the physical space. This idea arises from several directions and, in turn, suggests other physical interpretations.

1. We know how to define energy and momentum from the classical treatment of the Bondi mass and momentum. Now from the H-space point of view and at a low order of approximation we have recovered the trivial relationship between three-momentum, mass and velocity, Eq.(94), i.e., $P = M\xi_R^{\cdot}$.

2. In classical Electromagnetic theory, using the electromagnetic self-force and the Lorentz force law, one finds the radiation reaction term $\frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot\cdot}$. Here, just by looking at linear order, at the asymptotic Einstein-Maxwell fields with no attempt at model building, we obtain exactly the same term in the equations of motion without any type of model building. Furthermore, by looking at the equations of motion for the special case of $\xi_I^k = 0$, i.e., Eq.(109), we see that in addition to the radiation reaction term there are further non-linear terms that arises from the Bondi mass loss formula, Eq.(103). In simple numerical examples, neglecting the $(\sigma^{\cdot})^2$ term, it appears as if the classical run-away solutions of just the radiation reaction term are damped out.

3. In our equation for mass loss, Eq.(103), there is electric and magnetic dipole radiation with the dipole with the second time derivative of the complex $q\xi^k(u_B) = q(\xi_R^k + i\xi_I^k)$, i.e., we see that there is energy loss by both electric and magnetic dipole radiation with the identification of $q\xi_R^k$ and $q\xi_I^k$ as the electric and magnetic dipole moments. The numerical factors even agree with dipole radiation derived from pure Maxwell theory in Minkowski space.

It appears as if the $\xi_R^k(u_B)$ can be used as a 'position vector' and it is suggestive that $M\xi_R^k(u_B)$ and $q\xi_R^k(u_B)$ can be interpreted as the mass and charge dipole moments and thus $\xi_R^k(u_B)$ interpreted as the center of mass and charge.

From knowledge of the Schwarzschild, Kerr, Reissner-Nordstrom and charged Kerr metrics, one can try to give meaning to the complex curve and in particular to the *imaginary* part of the curve. It has been well know since the 1960's that the Kerr space-time has associated with it a complex space and a unique complex world-line such that its imaginary part, $|\xi_I^k| = a$, determines the spin angular-momentum S, via $S = Mca$. Furthermore for the charged Kerr metric there is the *same* complex world-line with imaginary part, $|\xi_I^k| = a$ from which both the spin S and magnetic dipole moment, μ, are obtained from $S = Mca$ and $\mu = qa$. In none of these cases is there a non-vanishing real part of the world-line and hence the real center of mass and the electric dipole vanished and, hence, are at the origin.

4. These observations suggest that in the present work the imaginary part of the complex curve, i.e., ξ_I^k, should be used to define both the spin and the magnetic dipole moment via

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{S} &= Mc\overrightarrow{\xi}_I \\ \overrightarrow{\mu} &= q\overrightarrow{\xi}_I. \end{aligned}$$

5. From a study[18, 12, 11] of the Maxwell equations in Minkowski space, where one needs far less approximations and sometimes no approximations at all, again we have that $\overrightarrow{\mu} = q\overrightarrow{\xi}_I$.

6. Though at the present time we do not know the meaning of many of the terms in both the expression for the 3-momentum, (94) and the equations of motion, (107), it appears possibly that they come from the fact that we are dealing with the matter from entire interior of the space-time and information from earlier times is being fed in via the higher time derivatives. Nevertheless some of the terms are familiar: In (94) there are three terms

$$P^k = M\xi_R^{k\cdot} - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}\xi_R^{k\cdot\cdot} - \frac{3}{2c}M\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_I^{i\cdot}\xi_R^{j\cdot} + ...$$

that are known from other considerations: there is the standard Mv term, the second term comes from radiation reaction [its time derivative is the well-known radiation reaction force.] The last term is the spin-velocity coupling term found in the Mathisson-Papapetrou equations[20, 21] if again we identify the spin with $Mc\xi_I^{i\cdot}$.

It thus appears natural, in our case where our two world-lines coincide, to identify ξ_I^k as the imaginary center of mass and charge and thus define the full complex $\xi^k(u_B)$ as the complex center of mass and center of charge. This point of view allows a unification of many examples of electric and magnetic phenomena.

Returning to the charged Kerr case, it was noted that in the ratio $S/\mu = Mc/q$, the imaginary displacement,'a', drops out, and one discovers that for the charged Kerr solution the gyromagnetic ratio has the Dirac value, i.e., $g = 2$. This result came from the fact that the two complex world-lines coincided. From this observation we see that, for all asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell fields where the two complex H-space world-lines coincide, again the gyromagnetic ratio will have the Dirac value, $g = 2$.

Though there is still much to understand and do, we believe that there is something essentially correct in this screen or holographic point of view towards these H-space curves. A variety of questions, however, do arise.

a. Is this discovery of the complex curve on H-space and the direction fields just a booking keeping device or is there something deeper. We do not know but we can see a beautiful symmetry between orbital and spin angular momentum and between electric and magnetic dipole moments. They are naturally unified into the complex vector ξ^a. This structure takes on even more beauty in the case of the twisting type II metrics (in some sense fundamental solutions) where the asymptotically shear-free congruence is totally shear-free.

b. We do not yet know the connection between our definition of spin and the more usual one obtained from the symmetry properties of the BMS group.

c. We need to analyze the meaning of many of the terms in the equations of motion. It appears clear that they will involve couplings between all the different types of moments; mass-dipole/orbital angular momentum, spin, electric and magnetic dipoles and higher moments. Will they have any obvious meaning?

d. There is the issue to be explored of whether it is possible in principle [almost certainly not in practice] to *observe* the complex world-line. The answer very likely is yes; it probably can be done by a spherical harmonic analysis of the angle field, $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, then looking at the $l = 1$ component.

e. The potential damping of the classical run-away solution from the radiation reaction term must be further studied.

f. What relationship does our unique choice of the angle field $L(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ have to the same geometric object in the algebraically special metrics where it is used to define a CR structure on $\mathfrak{I}^+$? It would appear that a mathematical statement of our results could be the following: (i). For all asymptotically flat vacuum space-times there is a unique CR structure on $\mathfrak{I}^+$,(ii). For all asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell space-times there are two unique CR structures on $\mathfrak{I}^+$, (iii). In the degenerate case, when the two structures coincide, one find that the gyromagnetic ratio is that of Dirac, namely $g = 2$.

7 Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work (partially) supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0244513. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science. E.T.N. thanks the NSF for this support. G.S.O. acknowledges the financial support from CONACyT through Grand No.44515-F, VIEP-BUAP through Grant No.17/EXC/05 and Sistema Nacional de Investigadores (SNI-México).

References

[1] C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman and G. Silva-Ortigoza, accepted, J.Classical & Quantum Gravity.

[2] R.Penrose, Phys.Rev.Lett. **10**, 66 (1963).

[3] R. Penrose, in *Group Theory in Non-Linear Problems, A.O.Barut, (ed.), Reidel, Boston, (1970).*

[4] B. Aronson and E.T. Newman, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 1847-51 (1972).

[5] E.T. Newman, K.P.Tod, in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, Vol 2, Alan Held, (ed.), Plenum (1980).

[6] E.T. Newman and R. Penrose, J.Math.Phys., **3**, 566 (1962).

[7] I. Robinson and A. Trautman, Proc.Roy.Soc. London Ser. **A 289**,463, (1962).

[8] R. Hansen, E.T. Newman, R. Penrose and K. Tod, Proc. R. Soc. London A, **363**, 445 (1978).

[9] M. Ko, M. Ludvigsen, E. Newman and K. Tod, Phys. Rep. **71**, 51-139 (1981).

[10] C. Kozameh and E.T. Newman, *The Large Footprints of H-Space on Asymptotically Flat Space-Times,* gr-qc/0504026, accepted J.Classical & Quantum Gravity.

[11] Ezra T. Newman, Class. Quantum Grav **21** (2004) 1-25.

[12] C.Kozameh and E.T.Newman, *Electromagnetic Dipole Radiation Fields, Shear-Free Congruences and Complex Center of Charge World-Lines,* accepted J.Classical & Quantum Gravity.

[13] E.T. Newman and G. Silva-Ortigoza, Tensor Spin-s Harmonics, gr-qc/0508028.

[14] M. MacCallum and R. Penrose, Phys.Rep., **6C**, 242 (1973).

[15] E.T. Newman and J. Winicour, J. Math. Phys.,**15**, 1113 (1974).

[16] R.Lind and E.T. Newman, J. Math.Phys.,**15**, 1103 (1974).

[17] E.T. Newman, report at 17th International Conference on General Relativity and Gravitation, Dublin, Ireland, July 2004.

[18] E.T. Newman, Phys.Rev.D **65** (2002).

[19] E.T. Newman, E. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A Exton, A Prakash, R. Torrence, J.Math.Phys.**6,** 918 (1965).

[20] M. Mathisson, Acta Phys.Polonica, **6**,167, (1937).

[21] A. Papapetrou, Proc.Roy.Soc.London, **209**,248, (1951).

8 Appendix A

In order to obtain $\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, the inversion of

$$u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = \xi^a(\tau) l_a(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}),$$

we first note that τ can be replaced by any function of τ, i.e., the Eq.(49) is invariant under $\widehat{\tau} = F(\tau)$. See Eq.(53). Thus by taking

$$\xi^0(\tau) = \sqrt{2}\tau$$

we have

$$u_B = \tau + \xi^i(\tau) l_i(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$$

or

$$\tau = u_B - \xi^i(\tau) l_i(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}).$$

This can be solved by iteration to any order:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_0 &= u_B \\
\tau_1 &= u_B - \xi^i(u_B) l_i(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \\
\tau_n &= u_B - \xi^i(\tau_{n-1}) l_i(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + X_{l\geq 2}(\tau_{n-1}, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the text we have truncated this procedure and used the approximate inversion as

$$\tau = u_B - \xi^i(u_B) l_i(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}).$$

9 Appendix B

Normalization and conventions: Our goal here is to express the function Ψ in terms of the Bondi mass/momenta and also show how to extract them from the Ψ.

We start, in a given frame in Minkowski space, with C_a a unit radial space-like vector and T_a a unit time-like vector given by

$$\begin{aligned}
C^a &= (0, \cos\phi\sin\theta, \sin\phi\sin\theta, \cos\theta) = -C_a, &\quad (111)\\
T_a &= (1, 0, 0, 0). &\quad (112)
\end{aligned}$$

with the null vectors

$$\begin{aligned} l_a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -\cos\phi\sin\theta, -\sin\phi\sin\theta, -\cos\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_a + C_a) && (113) \\ n_a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, \cos\phi\sin\theta, \sin\phi\sin\theta, \cos\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(T_a - C_a) && (114) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_a = l_a - n_a = -\sqrt{2}(0, \cos\phi\sin\theta, \sin\phi\sin\theta, \cos\theta) = \sqrt{2}C_a$$

We let $a = (0,1,2,3) = (0,i)$ and $l_a = (l_0, l_i)$ and $c_i = \sqrt{2}C_i$, etc.

We then define the $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ parts of the mass aspect Ψ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &\equiv \psi_2^{*0} + 2L\eth\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} + L^2\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot\cdot} + \eth^2\overline{\sigma} + \sigma\overline{\sigma}^{\cdot} && (115) \\ \Psi &= \chi - \chi^i c_i + ... && (116) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi l_a &= \chi l_a - \chi^i c_i l_a + ... && (117) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\chi T_a - \sqrt{2}\chi^i C_i C_a + \chi C_a - \sqrt{2}\chi^i C_i T_a]..... \end{aligned}$$

From the integral identities, with dS the area element on the unit metric sphere,

$$\begin{aligned} \int dS &= 4\pi \\ \int C_i dS &= 0 \\ \int C_i C_j dS &= \frac{4\pi}{3}\delta_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Psi l_a dS &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int dS(\chi T_a - \sqrt{2}\chi^i C_i C_a) \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2}}[\chi T_a - \chi^i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}] = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\chi, \chi_i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right). && (118) \end{aligned}$$

with $\chi_i = -\chi^i$.

On the other hand, from reference ([5]) and a change of notation [see footnote 1], we have that the 4-momentum for an asymptotically flat space-time is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P_a &\equiv (Mc, -P^i) = -\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\int \Psi l_a dS, \qquad (119)\\ \Psi &= -2\sqrt{2}\frac{G}{c^2}M + \frac{6G}{c^3}P^i c_i + \Psi_{l\geq 2}..... \qquad (120)\end{aligned}$$

then from Eqs.(119),(120) and (117),

$$\begin{aligned} P_a &= -\frac{c^3}{2\sqrt{2}G}\left(\chi, \chi_i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right) \qquad (121)\\ (P_0, P_i) &= (Mc, P_i) = -\frac{c^2}{2\sqrt{2}G}\left(\chi, \chi_i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We find that:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= -2\sqrt{2}\frac{G}{c^2}M \qquad (122)\\ \chi_i &= 6\frac{G}{c^3}P^i. \qquad (123)\end{aligned}$$

10 Appendix C

Relations between the following quantities have been used through this work:

$$\begin{aligned} l^a &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1, \frac{\zeta+\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, -i\frac{\zeta-\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{-1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}), \qquad (124)\\ m^a &= \eth l^a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(0, \frac{1-\overline{\zeta}^2}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{-i(1+\overline{\zeta}^2)}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{2\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}),\\ \overline{m}^a &= \overline{\eth} l^a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(0, \frac{1-\zeta^2}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{i(1+\zeta^2)}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{2\zeta}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}),\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^a &= \sqrt{2}(1,0,0,0), \qquad (125)\\
n^a &= t^a - l^a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1, -\frac{\zeta+\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, i\frac{\zeta-\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{1-\zeta\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}),\\
c^a &= l^a - n^a = \sqrt{2}(0, \frac{\zeta+\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, -i\frac{\zeta-\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}, \frac{-1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}{1+\zeta\overline{\zeta}}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting a,b, etc., take the values $(0,1,2,3) = (0,i)$ we have the products

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m_i\overline{m}_j - \overline{m}_i m_j) &= i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}c_k,\\
(m_i c_j - m_j c_i) &= -i\sqrt{2}\epsilon_{ijk}m_k,\\
m_i c_j &= \frac{1}{2}(m_i c_j - m_j c_i) + \frac{1}{2}(m_i c_j + m_j c_i)\\
&= -i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}m_k + (l=2)Terms
\end{aligned}
$$

In a recent article[13] we have developed a notation to describe symmetric and trace-free tensor products of the three Euclidean vectors (c$_i$,m_i,$\overline{m}_i$) and their products;

Several examples that have been used in the text are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_i &= -Y^{(0)}_{(1)i}\\
m_i &= Y^{(1)}_{(1)i}\\
\overline{m}_i &= Y^{(-1)}_{(1)i}\\
m_i m_j &= Y^{(2)}_{(2)ij}\\
c_i m_j + m_i c_j &= -Y^{(1)}_{(2)ij}\\
3c_i c_j - 2\delta_{ij} &= Y^{(0)}_{(2)ij}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the upper index indicates the spin weight and the lower index in parenthesis gives the l value. The indices i and i,j, etc., are Euclidean tensor indices.

Some examples of product decompositions (Clebsch-Gordon expansion) that have been used in the text are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^1_{1i}Y^0_{1j} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{ijk}Y^1_{1k} + \frac{1}{2}Y^1_{2ij},\\
Y^1_{1k}Y^{-1}_{1f} &= \frac{1}{3}\delta_{kf} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{kfl}Y^0_{1l} - \frac{1}{12}Y^0_{2kf}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We have found that this type of notation and analysis is extremely useful.